

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/679,678	10/06/2003	Duane M. Horton	83843	5327
7590 06/17/2004		EXAMINER		
Office of Counsel			VASUDEVA, AJAY	
Naval Underse	a Warfare Center			
Division, Newport			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1176 Howell Street, Bldg 112T			3617	
Newport, RI	02841-1708			

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/679,678	HORTON, DUANE M.	S			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ajay Vasudeva	3617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet t	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortice to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC accuse the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communic	cation.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E			.0 10			
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 14-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3-13 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the same access are replacemented. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ion is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in a rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
.,						
Attachment(s)	" □······					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/6/2003. 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	tion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 2004	40610			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Niederer.

Niederer shows a body with a nose and a tail, and having a mast attached to the body. The mast has a rigid lower mast section [22] mechanically attached to the body, and an upper mast section [23] that is extendable from the lower mast section. A motor [58] with controller (see figure 6a) is in mechanical connection with the mast for initiating the extension of the mast from the tow body. (see col. 3, lines 2-5; col. 10, lines 36-44; and col. 11, lines 28-37).

The body is capable of being towed if required, and therefore, is considered as "suitable for towing", as set forth by the applicant in claim 1.

The limitation "an upper mast section being <u>extendable</u> from the lower mast section" has been broadly interpreted as the upper mast section as merely being <u>an extension</u> of the lower mast section, and not necessarily as being <u>movably</u> or <u>retractably extensible</u>. (emphasis provided)

Art Unit: 3617

3. Claims 1, 2 and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Helmore.

Page 3

Helmore shows a body with a nose and a tail, and having a mast [12] attached to the body. The mast has a rigid lower mast section mechanically attached to the body, and an upper mast section that forms an extension of the lower mast section, and is therefore considered extendable from the lower mast section. A motor [26] with controller [30] is in mechanical connection with the mast for initiating the extension of the mast from the tow body. A pressure sensor [8] initaiates the extension of the mast.

The body comprises components which can detected by radar.

The body is capable of being towed if required, and therefore, is considered as "suitable for towing", as set forth by the applicant in claim 1.

4. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rebikoff.

Rebikoff shows a body [2] with a nose and a tail, and having a mast [15] attached to the body. The mast has a rigid lower mast section mechanically attached to the body, and an upper mast section that is retractably extendable from the lower mast section.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3617

Page 4

6. Claims 2 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Niederer.in view of Daggett.

Niederer shows a body with an extensible mast controlled by a motor, as above.

Niederer does not show a controller that initiates the extension of the mast in response

to a depth indication by a pressure sensor, but refers to Daggett (US 3106712) for such details.

Daggett shows a controller initiating the extension of the mast in response to a depth

indication by a pressure sensor (col. 2, lines 13-19).

It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have

configured the controller of Niederer to initiate the extension of the mast in response to a depth

indication by a pressure sensor, as taught by Daggett. Having such an arrangement would have

been advantageous as it would have provided an automated system that would operate without

human intervention, and therefore would have precluded problems resulting from human error.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would

be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Art Unit: 3617

Kruger et al., Ambs, Wallin, Gertler et al., Yoshikawa et al., Kato, Lombardi (047 and

046), Salmon, Boswell et al., Hopkins, Hammond Jr. and DE (051) show devices that

can be used as decoys.

Wynn Jr. shows a submarine towing system.

Examiner's Observations: In claim 1, the applicant recites a limitation "suitable for towing". Applicant may note that all submarines are considered suitable for towing.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ajay Vasudeva whose telephone number is (703) 306-5992. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 1:00 pm--5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Joe Morano can be reached on (703) 308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ajay Vasudeva

Examiner Art Unit 3617

S. JOSEPH MORANO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 5